UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,002	11/30/2001	Warren S. Slutter	HJY0105R	7237
22045 7590 05/20/2010 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.				IINER
1000 TOWN CENTER			LAUCHMAN, LAYLA G	
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	09/998,002	SLUTTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	L. G. Lauchman	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this cc ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 De</u>	ecember 2009 and 14 April 2009.					
	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x <i>parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 7, 8, 10-12, 66, 84-130</u> is/are pend	ing in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• ,,					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7,8,10-12,66 and 84-130</u> is/are allow						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	. 9					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	olosion roquiromonii					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Reissue Applications

Page 2

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 12/09/2009 with respect to the surrender and recapture doctrine have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection based on recapture has been withdrawn.

Reissue Oath/Declaration

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b) (1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 1, 7, 8, 10-12, 66, 84-130 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue oath under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant." See MPEP § 1414.01.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 7, 8, 10-12, 66, 84-130 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As to Claim 1, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious an exit port located to receive a non-zero order of diffracted light emerging from said lens planar surface at a location on the other side of the meridian plane from the incident

polychromatic light, without significant mixing with adjacent orders of diffracted light, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 66, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious imaging said dispersed light with said lens at an exit port located on a second side of said meridian plane for receiving a non-zero order of diffracted light without significant mixing with adjacent orders of diffracted light, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 106, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious reflectively diffracting the second light beam at the grating to form a second diffracted beam; and imaging the second diffracted beam with the lens at the secondary exit port, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 111, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a primary exit port being located substantially out of said meridian plane toward said second side for receiving an order of light that maximizes throughput and minimizes astigmatism, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 112, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a primary exit port located substantially out of said meridian plane toward said second side for receiving an order of light that maximizes throughput and minimizes astigmatism, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 117, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a primary exit port located substantially out of said meridian plane toward said second side for receiving an order of light that maximizes throughput and minimizes

astigmatism, and a secondary exit port near said primary focal plane located at a second perpendicular distance from said meridian plane in said direction, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 125, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious an exit port located to receive diffracted light emerging from said lens planar surface at a location on the other side of the meridian plane from the incident polychromatic light, said entrance and exit ports being positioned relative to said lens convex surface and said grating concave surface to reflect light which is reflected by said lens convex surface towards said grating concave surface for reflection generally towards said lens convex surface to follow a path which avoids said exit port, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 128, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious an exit port located to receive a non-zero order of diffracted light emerging from said lens planar surface at a location on the other side of the meridian plane from the incident polychromatic light, and, overall, to tend to maximize throughput and tend to minimize astigmatism, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 129, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious an exit port located to receive first order of diffracted light emerging from said lens planar surface at a location on the other side of the meridian plane from the incident polychromatic light, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. G. Lauchman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2418. The examiner's normal work schedule is 8:00am to 4:30pm (EST), Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2059, ext. 77.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Application/Control Number: 09/998,002 Page 6

Art Unit: 2877

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the TC receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

/L. G. Lauchman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2877

5/20/2010